A: Silent Partner of Foreign

Policy

By Laurence Stern

Stern is a member of The Washington Post's national staff.

covert wasfare was been a silent partner however, that the answer is no. "I can of American foreign policy.

it was, in the beginning, a morally vert action in the face of some new simple proposition for most Ameri-threat that developed in the world." cans. The world was divided into two. President Ford was less qualified in political hemispheres, one Free and his last press conference. Asked one Communist. The two systems con- whether, under international law, the fronted each other around the globe. United States has a right to subvert The rules of engagement were that any governments such as the one headed thing went - preferably short of all-by the late Salvador Allende in Chile, out war.

In the back alley combat of the Cold eryone does it. War years, the Central Intelligence Until Watergate the perception of Agency emerged as the secret team most Americans of political espionage with the capability for bribing unions were formed by films and novels set in and chiefs of state, for training private exotic foreign capitals against a backarmies and - if need be - for top-ground of creaky rattan and slow pling governments.

Services. They were, on the whole, end of a covert warfare capability. sons of the American establishment products of comfortable homes, good; private colleges and a shared sense of: dedication to what they perceived to be traditional American values and unstinting opposition to the common President's army. threat: communism.

One of these men was William Egan Colby, a man of meticulously gray the world in the early years of the quality, who jumped behind enemy CIA. lines in Nazi-occupied Europe, who planned and administered the deeply controversial "pacification" program ment in Chile surfaced recently, well in South Vietnam and who rose patiently through the secret bureaucracy first came to light, the conditions were of the CIA's directorate of operations (more popularly "dirty tricks") to the top job, director of central intelligence.

of one of those recurrent public storms operations against Allende were "in which engulfs the CIA when it stum- the best interests of the people in bles by mistake out of the cold into Chile ..." the footlights of public attention.

ity and concentrate instead on the election. intelligence-gathering mission which it was chartered in 1947.

ROM THE ONSET of the Cold War teach in on CIA covert operations conto the outbreak of Watergate ducted on Capitol Hill. He concluded, envision situations in which the United States might well need to conduct co-

the President said in effect: Sure, ev-

whirling fans.

Its leadership was composed of men. But the Watergate tapes, with their who fought bravely and well together revelations of "enemies lists," bugduring World War II, many of them gings, wiretappings, political fund veterans of General William J. "Wild laundering and the like, gave us a mild Bill" Donovan's Office of Strategic taste of how things are on the wrong

> Before Watergate, the Vietnam war had eroded public confidence in the presidency and sewn distrust of the unbridled growth of the executive branch. The CIA has been, in effect, a

> Also, the Nixon-Kissinger policy of detente with the Communist superpowers muddled the near, bipolar view of

> And so, when new details of the U.S. secret war against the Allende governover a year after the CIA role in Chile ripe for a backfire of public and congressional indignation.

President Ford did little to assuage the growing clamor of criticism with He finds himself today at the center his declaration that the covert political

Secretary of State Kissinger put it with even more brutal directness during a meeting of the National Security

ing a meeting of the National Security

Council's super-secret "Forty Committee" on June 27, 1970—some two abandon its covert warfare capabil months before Chile's presidential

for "I don't see why we need to stand by and watch a country go Communist "This is a legitimate question," due to the irresponsibility of its own Colby acknowledged during a recent people," said Kissinger, the architect of the American detente policy, according to unchallenged classified minutes of the proceeding.

The dispute over whether the United States should be engaged in secret political warfare abroad is not a new one. Nothing was said in the national security charter establishing the CIA about political espionage.

When trapped in public disputes over clandestine operations abroad. CIA directors present and past pointed to a provision of the 1947 National Security Act authorizing the CIA "to perform such other functions and duties related to intelligence affecting the national security as the National Security Council may from time to time di-

Authors David Wise and Thomas Ross, pioneer investigative journalists of the CIA, described this as the agency's "secret charter" for carte blanche intervention. The charter is amplified in a series of highly classified National: Security Council intelligence directives) (described in the intelligence trade as "nonskids") as well as secret: presidential authorizations.

President Truman lived to deplore the secret warfare capability of the CIA, which was created under his administration, because of its penchant; for secret warfare enterprises. It was, he told biographer Merle Miller "a mistake . . . If I'd known what was going to happen I never would have done it ... They (the CIA) don't have to account to anybody."

As far as Truman was concerned, the business of the CIA was intelligence gathering. In fact, Truman was responsible for implanting the covert war role in the CIA when he merged the Office of Policy Coordination and Office of Special Operations, both espionage organizations, into the CIA. At the time he may not have realized the consequences of his action.

Political scientist Harry Howe Ransom of Vanderbilt University writes that "one searches in vain in the public records . . . for any evidence of congressional intent or acquiescence to assign the functions of foreign political action or subversion to the Central Intelligence Agency."

Yet the secret war-making capability of the CIA continued to grow through the years and exercise an even greater influence on American foreign policy. It is a tribute to the expansionary thrust of the executive branch, especially when unchecked by serious congressional oversight.

There are no official figures on the size or spending programs of the clandestine services of CIA. The only published figures, which were subject to pre-publication CIA review, are contained in "The CIA and the Cult of Intelligence" by former intelligence officers Victor Marchetti and John D. Marks.

00644

continued

Approved For Release 2011/08/09: CIA-RDP09T00207R001000020025-6

("Country and Liberty") were re-aftermath, the Bay or rigs raised no harmonises, they say, 6,000 recopie cruited in the battle against Allenda

this overall total for clandestine services, some 1,800 persons are said to be assigned specifically to covert action and \$260 million is budgeted for such operations.

Coordinator in Chile

BROAD, clandestine operations are centered in CIA stations, usually domiciled in a secure wing of American embassies. In-country CIA operations are managed by station chiefs who operate under foreign service covers, such as political officer, labor attache or consul.

In Chile at the time of Allende's downfall, the secret programs to unsettle the incumbent government were coordinated through: veteran CIA operative Raymond A: Warren, who was listed on embassy rolls as a member of the political section. Warren's coverwas not deep enough to prevent his house from being stoned by supporters of the late president in the final months of 1973. Share

The 51-year-old operative arrived in Chile for his second tour in October, 1970, according to the State Department Biographical Register. He returned within a month of a Forty Committee meeting in Washington which, according to the reported testimony of of the dirty tricks department) at the Colby, resulted in a \$350,000 authoriza- time of the Bay of Pigs spoke openly tion to influence members of the Chi- of the vulnerability of countries like lean Congress to oppose Allende in a Ecuador and Uruguay to CIA operarun-off election.

It was during the same period that the International Telephone and Telegraph Co. through former CIA Director John A. McCone, then an ITT consultant and board member, offered \$1 million to the Nixon administration to seek Allende's defeat in the congressional run-off. The ITT offer was declined and the Forty Committee authorization cancelled on the grounds that the Congressional bribery scheme would be unworkable. Allende wonfor the time being

The programs of destabilization aimed at the Allende government were strongly reminiscent of those used ir previous operations in Chile and Brazil. Strikes and demonstrations were funded and orchestrated with the help of the local CIA station.

Middle-class groups, hostile to Allende, were organized into such protests as the familiar "March of the Empty Pots" conducted by housewives: banging empty cooking ware in suburban neighborhoods.

Trade unions were conscripted into the covert battle through regional labor organizations which coordinate with the American Institute for Free Labor Development, the foreign organizing arm of the AFL-CIO.

There has been evidence that goon squarts and terrorist groups, such as the neo-fascist Patria y Libertad

which ousted Allende a high-ranking institutionalized.

the rare cases where they are blown April Fool's Day, 1964, which closed by a witting informant.

Probably the most detailed and authoritative account of covert warfare as it is conducted on a day-to-day basis at the station level will be contained in the forthcoming book by former CIA clandestine operations officer Philip B. F. Agee who was based in three Latin American stations-Ecuador, Uruguay and Mexico-during the 1960s.

Agee's manuscript describes how a local CIA station with a handul of operatives and an adequate budget of black funds can manipulate political parties, trade unions, public rallies, police bureaucracies and political leaders in small countries such as Ecuador.

Richard M. Biseell Jr., who was the CIA's deputy director for plans (head tions.

"The underdeveloped world," Bissell told a 1968 Council of Foreign Relaintelligence, meeting on tions "presents greater opportunites for covert intelligence collection, simply because governments are much less highly organized; there is less security consciousness, and there is apt to be more actual or potential diffusion of power among parties, localities, organizations and individuals outside of the central governments."

Because of these conditions the Third World has been an inviting test laboratory not only for intelligence gathering but for secret warfare as

small countries which became battle- small working group in clandestine grounds of covert warfare because services. Even the highest officials in they were designated as the front lines the analytical branch of the CIA, the in the anti-Communist struggle.

In the early 1960's the CIA organized in the dark. the "clandestine army" of Meo Tribesmen in Laos, an ethnic minority which rity Council staffer Morton H. Halpehas been savagely decimated by more rin recently described it, was that than a decade of war ending last year "when Mr. Allen Dulles, the director in the same inconclusive political stale- of Central Intelligence, informed the mate in which it all began.

Bay of Pigs

L tempt in 1969 became President sion and on his own hunches..." John F. Kennedy's most egregious foreign policy blunder. Though Dulles and Bissell were fired in the anguished

and a budget of \$440 million. Within Two weeks before the military coup warfare role, which by then was well

executive of Chile's secret police told In 1962 and 1963 the CIA intervened Washingon Post special correspondent massively against the government of Marlise Simons that the CIA funds Brazil's President Joao Goulart with were being funneled to Patria y Liber secret political funding and manipulation of the press and labor movement, Because covert action programs are principal tools of covert political war. hatched under the heaviest secrecy re. The Goulart government, considered too straints in government they remain ob leftist for Washington's tastes (it had scure to Congress or even high offi- expropriated an ITT subsidiary) was cials in the executive branch, except in overthrown by a military coup on

> Congress, liquidated political opposition, shut down newspapers, jailed critics and instituted the systematic practice of torture for political interroga-

> In Vietnam, which began as a lowprofile intervention on the part of the United States in the retreating shadow of French influence, the CIA played a key role in propping up our handpicked candidate for premier, Ngo Dinh Diem, and in his demise after eight controversial years of rule. It administered pacification and counterterror programs which non-Communist critics of the Saigon regime have branded as programs of repression.

> The catalogue could go on: The overthrow of the Mossadegh government in Iran in 1953, engineered with the assistance of former CIA operative Kermit Roosevelt; the toppling of the Arbenz government in Guatemala in 1954 with U.S. arms and a CIA air force; covert support of anti-Sukarno rebel elements in Indonesia in 1958; assisting Bolivian troops in the capture of Che Guevara in 1967.

> Covert warfare operations are hatched within a narrow spectrum of the intelligence bureaucracy from which dissent and countervailing interests are excluded. Under the system of security classification in which the clandestine services operate, those cleared for access to information are unlikely to be critics or trouble-mak-

Plans for the Bay of Pigs invasion. in many respects the classic covert The map of the world is dotted with warfare scenario, were restricted to a directorate of intelligence, were kept

The result, as former National Secu-President that the chances of success were very high, this opinion was based entirely on the views of the covert op-THE BAY OF PIGS invasion at- erators planning the Bay of Pigs inva-

00645

assinger's Role

CDAY the management of the Looking at the world, however, ings after the fact. centered in Kissinger in his capacity as White House west basement and CIA's tions has been almost a laughing stock national security advisor to the Presi-Langley headquarters, there are sev-on Capitol Hill. It is clear that both dent. Kissinger presides over the Forty eral tempting trouble spots which the Senate and House overseers of CIA Committee, the top forum for the con-could be ideal candidates for secret po- had the scantiest notion, if any at all, on duct of covert operations, whose other litical intervention. members are Colby, Under secretary of In Italy, which is wracked by eco-State for Political Affairs Joseph J. nomic turmoil, the Communist Party prised," Sen. Stuart Symington (D-Mo.) Sisco, Deputy Defense Secretary Will and State of the Communist Party prised," Sen. Stuart Symington (D-Mo.)

the Nixon Administration. As both Sec- the internal politics of those countries.

warfare program he has made himself wing activity in order to justify strong clear. Kissinger wants to maintain it governmental security measures.

ingness to punch the covert warfare Ford and Secretary Kissinger have isbuttons was well demonstrated even sued stern warnings of unspecified rethough there is a serious question action to the oil price increases by the whether the late President Allende United States and Western nations. It and his "socialism in democracy" expe- is one of those situations, to which riment represented a compelling Colby referred, in which it might be threat to U.S. national security.

The main threat in Chile was to a sending in the Marines. in 1971.

alleged scheme by the socialist govern- man to opponents of junta rule. ment to destroy the press and opposi- Any one of the three points could tion political parties. During the three justify a stronger case for covert interyears of Allende's rule the opposition vention than was Chile, should anyone press, led by the influential El Mercu- wish to argue it. rio newspapers, continued to print. Po- Certainly the machinery of covert inlitical parties, including virulently tervention has begun rolling. Continanti-Allende factions calling openly gency plans have been drawn up and it

overthrow of his government by the singer, wearing his national security military junta on Sept. 11, 1973, did advisorship hat, with his Forty Comthe events occur which the earlier CIA mittee colleagues. intervention was supposed to prevent.

the Chilean junta closed the Con mendation from Kissinger to the Presigress, shut down opposition newspal dent. Kissinger's memorandum will pers and banned all political parties.

Trouble Spots

cent Washington conference on co-sion—as well as what flows from it. No

U.S. secret warfare capability is through the crisis binoculars in the Congressional oversight of CIA opera-

Sisco, Deputy Defense Secretary Wil- could rise to its most powerful point of remarked after recently hearing Colliam P. Clements Jr. and Gen. George influence since the end of World War by's testimony on covert programs S. Brown, chairman of the Joint Chiefe II. The situation is strikingly analogous to the post-war period when CIA Kissinger is the only one with con-in Italy, France and Greece moved tinuous service since the beginning of into a position of some influence in retary of State and head of the na- There have been widespread accusational security apparatus, Kissinger tions in the Italian press of CIA fihas consolidated immense control over nancing of right-wing terrorist groups the intelligence community-probably coordinated through the Italian secretmore than any executive official in the police, the Servicio Intelligentsia Dination's history, more than most Presi-fasa (SID). It is alleged that the SID is conducting a "strategy of tension" On the issue of maintaining a covert by provoking extremist right and left

for those situations in which the Presi- In the Persian Gulf the steady rise dent and his chief advisors want to use of oil prices by the producer nations threaten to destabilize the economies In the case of Chile, Kissinger's will- of the industrial world. Both President preferable to have an alternative to

number of U.S. multinational corpora- In Greece there has been a national tions, such as ITT and the copper com-convulsion of anti-American feeling panies, whose assets were in the proc-which could threaten military base aress of being nationalized through nego-rangements considered vital to both tiation under a policy which had the NATO and U.S. operations along Euendorsement of the Chilean Congress rope's southern flank. The CIA has been publicly associated with the mili-President Ford's post-facto justifica- tary junta which came to power in tion two weeks ago of the covert pro- 1967 and, with some justification, the grams against Allende was based on an agency has become a political bogey

for insurrection, continued to function. would be extraordinary if options have Only after Allende's death and the not already been discussed by Kis-

If action is recommended, it will As in Brazil nine years earlier come in the form of a formal recomhave all the awesome authority of the national security bureaucracy behind it. Only a handful of official men in, MA DIRECTOR Colby, at the re-Washington will be privy to the decivert operations, indicated that there one but the President could effectively formally asked Nedzi for a transcript question it.

were no current programs of signifi- If past behavior is any guide, Congress will receive perfunctory brief-

what had been going on in Chile in 1970.

mounted against Allende between 1970 and 1973-details of which had already leaked to The Washington Post and New York Times.

Symington is one of a privileged handful of senators and congressmen who have been designated as legislative overseers of the CIA and are supposedly kept up to date by the agency on all major clandestine activities.

The attitude of the overseers is best typified by the remark of Sen. John Stennis (D-Miss.), chairman of the Senate Armed Service Committee and senior congressional overseer on intelligence matters.

"This agency," he told his colleagues in November, 1971, "is conducted in a splendid way. As has been said, spying is spying . . . You have to make up your mind that you are going to have an intelligence agency and protect it as such, and shut your eyes some and take what is coming."

Stennis' subcommittee counterpart on the House side is Rep. Lùcien Nedzi (D-Mich.), who has taken his responsibilities more seriously than most congressmen associated with the oversight role. He is briefed on a biweekly basis by CIA officials and has become an important target for friendly co-option by the agency.

Nedzi doesn't feel that it would be appropriate for his subcommittee to push the investigation any further into CIA's programs of political and economic sabotage in Chile.

"It is obvious to us that the CIA's actions were approved by the administration," he explained. "It was carrying out the foreign policy of the government. Foreign policy is outside our jurisdiction."

Foreign policy is the jurisdiction of the House and Senate Foreign Affairs. Committees. But CIA won't talk in any detail to those committees. Colby will talk on operational matters only to the Armed Service subcommittees designated to review his agency's operations.

An interesting test is in prospectwhich will illuminate the paradox of: congressional overseership of the CIA. The Senate Foreign Relations Committee, supposedly conducting a study of the CIA undercover role in Chile, has of Colby's bombshell testimony detail-

00646

ing the 1970 interventions.

It would be a major political surprise if the House Armed Service Committee accedes to the request. Should the Senate committee call Colby it is doubtful that he would talk with the candor with which he addressed the two Armed Service subcommittees.

And so the prospect is for an investigative stalemate in Congress on Chile.

Disciplinary Action

ALTHOUGH the House Oversight committee balked at pursuing the CIA's trail in Chile, it showed great alacrity in beginning what could become disciplinary proceedings against Rep. Michael Harrington (D-Mass.), the House member who blew the whistle on Colby's testimony in letters to the chairman of the House and Senate Foreign Relations Committees.

It was on Harrington's initiative that Colby was invited to testify before the Nedzi subcommittee on CIA activities in Chile. He was the only member of the House outside the Oversight subcommittee who took the trouble to read the testimony, which was kept under lock and key and made available to members only on request.

And so the question of whether covert operations of the CIA should be abolished may be academic. Congressional leadership, the President, the Secretary of State have all declared themselves openly or privately against any such change.

Yet the record shows that many of our secret interventions have been of dubious benefit to national security. In some instances they have been highly damaging. It is hard to believe that the CIA buries only its successes, of which we hear little.

The hallmark of covert operations—the doctrine of "plausible deniability"—flies in the face of the common assumption that public officials in the American system should be both accountable and moderately trutnful.

Plausible deniability was the terrible watchword of the Watergate scandal, which was the very embodiment of the notion of secret intervention coming home to roost.

00647